Mr. K. J. Antony.—May I know whether the District Judge was on leave or on duty then?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—There must be a District Judge at the time, I suppose.

Mr. K. J. Antony.—May I know who was the District Judge then?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Government wants notice to supply information on these detailed points.

Mr. K. J. Antony.—Government wants notice to say who was the District Judge then?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—This case was dragged on for more than one and a half years or so, and there might have been several changes of District Judges during such period. If the Member wants to know who the District Judge was on that particular date, the Government requires notice.

Mr. K. J. Antony.—I had sent my question with sufficient notice, and the Department should have collected all information with regard to that.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The questions have all been answered, Sir.

Mr. K. J. Antony.—But I want answers to my supplementary questions.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—It is regarding those that we want notice.

Mr. Ravunni Menon's appeal to the Chief Court.

192. Mr. K. J. Antony.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether Mr. Ravunni Menon had perferred an appeal to the Chief Court against his dismissal order from the District Court, dated 21st Edavam 1102;

(b) had he not prayed in his petition to the Chief Court to show towards him a special act of mercy;

(c) if the answer to (b) is in the affirmative, was there any special reason to deny him his prayer;

(d) what was the order of the Chief Court on his appeal?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) No special reason is required to refuse a prayer for special mercy.

(d) The appeal was dismissed.

Mr. Ravunni Menon's review petition before the Chief Court.

193. Mr. K. J. Antony.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) was there not a review petition before the Chief Court by Mr. Ravunni Menon, dated 17th Vrischigam 1103;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(b) was there not a report submitted by the District Judge on 26th Mithunam 1103 to the Chief Court on the above review petition ;

(c) have the Government noted any difference of tones in the final report, dated 17th Kumbham 1102 and in the report on the review petition dated 26th Mithunam 1103 ; if so, have the Government shown any consideration to the petitioner Mr. Ravunni Menon on that basis ;

(d) what was the order of the Chief Court on the review petition ?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) Government do not note any difference in the tone of the two reports.

(d) The petition was dismissed.

Review before the Diwan.

194. Mr. K. J. Antony.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) has Mr. Ravunni Menon preferred a review before the Diwan on 1st Kumbham 1104 ;

(b) has any order been passed on that review petition ; and if so, what and when ?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) Yes.

(b) The petition was recorded on 28th Kumbham 1104.

Mr. K. J. Antony.—May I know, with regard to question (b), whether any final orders have been passed ?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The order is that the petition has been recorded.

Mr. K. J. Antony.—Is it the final order ?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Yes.

Mr. K. J. Antony.—Am I to take it that the order has been passed after taking into consideration his review petition also ?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Yes.

Distinction between the charges of Messrs. Ravunni Menon, Muthuswami Ayyar and Venkiteswara Ayyar.

195. Mr. K. J. Antony.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) was there any distinction between the charges against Messrs. Ravunni Menon, Venkiteswara Ayyar, who was for some time the Head clerk of the Chittur Munsiff's Court, and C. K. Muthuswami Ayyar, the late Head clerk of the Deputy Inspector of Schools; if so, what ;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly